



A New Dihydropiplartine and **Piplartine Dimer from Piper rugosum**

Anderson Maxwell, and Dave Rampersad

J. Nat. Prod., 1991, 54 (4), 1150-1152 DOI: 10.1021/np50076a044 • Publication Date (Web): 01 July 2004

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on April 4, 2009

More About This Article

The permalink http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/np50076a044 provides access to:

- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

A NEW DIHYDROPIPLARTINE AND PIPLARTINE DIMER FROM PIPER RUGOSUM

ANDERSON MAXWELL* and DAVE RAMPERSAD1

Department of Chemistry, The University of the West Indies, St. Augustine, Trinidad and Tobago, West Indies

ABSTRACT.—The Me₂CO extract of the aerial parts of *Piper rugosum* yielded two piperidine alkaloids characterized as 8,9-dihydropiplartine [1] and piplartine dimer [2] by spectroscopic methods. Compound 1 has not been previously reported.

The piperidine alkaloid, piplartine, which exhibits a hypotensive effect and also causes depression of intestinal tonus in mongrel dogs (1), has been isolated on numerous occasions from a number of Piper species (2–6). The uncertainty concerning its structure among the early investigators was resolved by X-ray crystallographic analysis (7). This compound can give rise to two dihydro structures: loss of the pyridone double bond resulting in 3,4-dihydropiplartine or loss of the cinnamyl double bond resulting in 1. Our continuing interest (8-11)in the chemistry of Trinidad Piper species led us to investigate the aerial parts of Piper rugosum Lam. (Piperaceae). This shrub is endemic to the regions of the West Indies (12) and can be found growing in the moist, shady, forested parts of Trinidad. It is quite rare, not known to be used in folk medicine, and never researched chemically. We report the isolation of 8,9-dihydropiplartine

[1] and piplartine dimer [2] from its aerial parts.

Vacuum liquid chromatography (vlc) of the Me₂CO extract resulted in combined fractions 13-23 and 24-31. The latter gave colorless crystalline needles, whereas the former (a green mixture) was decolorized to give a yellow oil. Preparative tlc of this oil using AgNO3 impregnated Si gel vielded a clear semi-solid. Low resolution eims of the less polar semi-solid gave an $[M]^+$ at m/z 319, which was compatible with a molecular formula of $C_{17}H_{21}NO_5$. Uv absorption maxima were observed at 221 and 268 nm, while the ir spectrum exhibited carbonyl absorptions at 1705 (δ lactam) and 1690 (amide) cm⁻¹. The ¹H-nmr spectrum (see Experimental) contained a two-proton aromatic singlet at δ 6.50 and singlets at δ 3.85 (3H) and δ 3.88 (6H), which indicated a 1-substituted 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzene ring. doublet of triplets at δ 6.02 (1H, J = 9,

¹Present address: Phytochemical & Toxicology Laboratory, Developmental & Cell Biology, Irvine, California 92717.

2 Hz), the multiplet at δ 6.92 (1H), that at δ 2.40 (2H) and the triplet at δ 3.99 (2H, J = 6 Hz) suggested the presence of a 2-(1H)-pyridone ring (5,6). The AA'BB' pair of multiplets centered at δ 2.95 and 3.26 pointed to the presence of a -CH₂-CH₂-C=O group linking the aromatic and pyridone moieties. Thus, the spectral data led to the formulation of structure 1.

Indeed, the 1 H-nmr spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ was essentially identical with that of piplartine (7), except that the AB pair of doublets (J = 15.6 Hz) at δ 7.37 and 7.73, which accounts for the trans cinnamyl doublet bond in piplartine, was absent in the spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$, and the AA'BB' pair of multiplets appeared. This evidently indicated saturation of the C-8–C-9 bond and further corroborated the structure formulated for $\mathbf{1}$.

The more polar compound **2** was isolated as colorless crystalline needles, mp 262–263° (Me₂CO/CHCl₃). The spectral data (ir, ¹H nmr, and ¹³C nmr) were essentially identical with the published data (6) for piplartine dimer.

3,4-Dihydropiplartine has been isolated from *Piper bartlingianum* (13) and synthesized by catalytic hydrogenation of piplartine (5). However, this is the first report of the occurrence of the other dihydro compound 1. The dimer 2 has previously been isolated from *Piper tuberculatum* (6) and from *Piper arborescens* (15).

EXPERIMENTAL

GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.—Mp's were determined on a Reichert micro mp apparatus and are uncorrected. Uv spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 552A uv-vis spectrophotometer, and ir spectra were run both neat and as Nujol mulls using a Pye Unicam SP3-200 instrument. ¹H- (80 MHz) and ¹³C- (20 MHz) nmr spectra were run on a Bruker WP 80 SY FT nmr spectra were run on a Bruker WP 80 SY FT nmr spectrometer with TMS as the internal standard. Lreims were obtained at 70 eV on a Finnegan 4000 mass spectrometer. Si gel 60 PF-254 and 366 (Merck) was used for analytical (0.25 mm) and preparative (1 mm) tlc and for vlc (14).

PLANT MATERIAL.—Aerial parts of the plant P. rugosum were collected at the 3.5 mile post

along the Toco Road, Trinidad, in July 1988. A voucher specimen is on deposit at the National Herbarium of Trinidad and Tobago. The plant material was air dried (ca. 28°) for 1 week and oven dried (40°) for an additional week.

EXTRACTION, SEPARATION, AND ISOLA-TION.—The dried, ground plant material (330 g) was exhaustively extracted with Me₂CO (6 liters) for 1 week. Evaporation of the Me2CO gave 11.2 g of the crude extract. This extract was subjected to vlc, eluting initially with petroleum ether alone and then with petroleum ether/ Me₂CO mixtures of increasing polarity. Fractions 13-23 were combined (2.30 g) and subsequently decolorized in Me₂CO solution by gently heating with powdered charcoal for 20 min. After filtration and evaporation of the Me₂CO, 1.5 g of a yellow viscous oil was obtained. Preparative tlc [petroleum ether-Me₂CO (3:1)] of this oil gave a uvactive band which was subjected to further preparative tlc {petroleum ether-Me₂CO (7:3)} using AgNO₃ (12%) impregnated Si gel. Compound 1 (8 mg) was obtained as a clear semi-solid: uv (MeOH) λ max 221 and 268 nm (€ 11600, 2500); if ν max (neat) 3010, 1705, 1690, 1590, 1510, 1464, 1420, 1390, 1362, 1342, 1310, 1240, 1215, 1180, 1130, 1000, 820, 755 cm⁻¹ eims m/z (%) [M]⁺ 319 (29), 255 (15), 254 (100), 219 (18) 181 (67), 179 (52), 151)27), 149 (50), 123 (12), 111 (16), 97 (20), 85 (19), 71 (19), 69 (20), 57 (32); 1 H nmr (CDCl₃) δ 2.40 (m, 2H, H-5), 2.95 (m, 2H, H-9), 3.26 (m, 2H, H-8), 3.85 (s, 3H, 13-OMe), 3.88 (s, 6H, 12-, 14-OMe), 3.99 (t, J = 6 Hz, 2H, H-6), 6.02 (dt,J = 9, 2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 6.50 (s, 2H, H-11, -15), 6.92 (m, 1H, H-4).

Fractions 24–31 gave 66 mg of the more polar compound **2**. It was recrystallized from CHCl₃/ Me_2CO to give colorless crystalline needles: mp $262-263^{\circ}$ [lit. (6) $269-272^{\circ}$]; uv (MeOH) λ max 214 and 267 nm (ϵ 30700, 8400).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Mr. M.B. Kalloo of the National Herbarium at our unviersity for kind assistance in the collection and identification of the plant material. We are grateful to Dr. M. Chong, of the University of Waterloo, Canada, for the mass spectral data and to the University of the West Indies for financial support.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. P.J. Tewari, B.R. Srivastava, K.C. Dutta, and S.S. Mishra, Labdev, 3, 81 (1965).
- C.K. Atal and S.S. Banga, Curr. Sci., 32, 354 (1963).
- A. Chatterjee and C.P. Dutta, *Tetrahedron*, 23, 1769 (1967).
- 4. S.S. Mishra and P.J. Tewari, J. Pharm. Sci., **53**, 1423 (1964).

- B.S. Joshi, V.N. Kamat, and A.K. Saksena, Tetrahedron Lett., 20, 2395 (1968).
- R.B. Filho, M.P. DeSouza, and M.E.O. Mattos, Phytochemistry, 20, 345 (1981).
- 7. P.M. Boll, J. Hansen, O. Simonsen, and N. Thorup, *Tetrahedron*, **40**, 171 (1984).
- 8. A. Maxwell and D. Rampersad, J. Nat. Prod., **51**, 370 (1988).
- A. Maxwell and D. Rampersad, J. Nat. Prod., 52, 411 (1989).
- 10. A. Maxwell and D. Rampersad, J. Nat. Prod., **52**, 614 (1989).

- A. Maxwell and D. Rampersad, J. Nat. Prod., 52, 891 (1989).
- D. Philcox, "Flora of Trinidad and Tobago," Ministry of Agriculture Lands and Fisheries, Trinidad and Tobago, 1977, Vol. II, Part VIII, pp. 529–530.
- A. Kijjoa, A.M. Giesebrecht, and O.R. Gottlieb, *Planta Med.*, 44, 188 (1982).
- S.W. Pelletier, H.P. Chokshi, and H.K. Desai, J. Nat. Prod., 49, 892 (1986).
- C.-Y. Duh, Y.-C. Wu, and S.-K. Wang, J. Nat. Prod., 53, 1575 (1990).

Received 26 December 1990